

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Heatons have been commissioned to undertake a Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) assessment to determine the impact on biodiversity of the proposed mineral extraction at Lea Castle Farm, Wolverley, Kidderminster (hereafter referred to as the site).
- Previously a BNG assessment was undertaken within the Ecological Impact
 Assessment using the Worcestershire Council Metric. However, the Defra 2.0
 Metric has since been released and is cone bMher ng todiv dee been tracled ter752J-0.037a BNG

3.0 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

- 3.1 The Biodiversity Net Gain calculations for the proposed scheme have been assessed using the DEFRA Biodiversity Metric 2.0 produced by Natural England and the Phase 1 Habitat Map produced as part of the PEA Report. The Biodiversity Metric 2.0 provides an updated way to measure and account for the losses, changes, and gains, in biodiversity as a result of development, or changes in land management, and includes a calculation tool to demonstrate these figures.
- 3.2 The metric has been used to calculate the baseline biodiversity units within the Site red line boundary. These calculations have then been used to help the scheme follow the mitigation hierarchy of avoidance, mitigation, and compensation, and to inform the post development management.
- 3.3 Figure 1 and Figure 2 below include the formulae used to calculate the baseline biodiversity units for habitats and linear habitats according to the Biodiversity Metric 2.0 User Guide.

Figure 1 Baseline Habitat Biodiversity Unit Formulae (taken from Biodiversity Metric 2.0 User Guide)

 t_{a} Baseline AHBU = $(A^{to} \times Q^{to}_{D} \times Q^{to}_{C}) \times (Q^{to}_{SC} \times Q^{to}_{SS})$ WRATE TO A CONTRACT OF A CO ₹'n limete Tarant convien 72, 5, 12, 100 INC: R QINS CHASK somerien se seaten nnêxse Q_{SC} Connectivity (a quality measure) Post intervention t1 measure) Rp. Difficulty (a risk factor), H2 Area habitat type opst intervention

Equation 1: Pre-impact (to) biodiversity value

The metric is then used to calculate the biodiversity units present in the post development proposal. Where the number of biodiversity units is lower/higher than the baseline calculations, an assessment can be made as to whether the scheme will achieve a net gain or a net loss for biodiversity.

Calculations of biodiversity units remaining following the construction of the proposed development take account of –

Once the post-development biodiversity units have been calculated, the mitigation hierarchy is further applied; application of the mitigation hierarchy is one of the guiding principles for biodiversity no net loss/net gain proposals. Through its application, the hierarchy highlights action to avoid, minimise or restore biodiversity loses within the Site, and account for unavoidable losses off site.

3.9 The inform002 Tc -0.03t680.6 (er)-6 (ar)61-6.6 (mor)4.9 ()11.1 (t)-6.6 (()11.2e bi)2.6 (odi)2.6 (v

4.0 RESULTS

4.1 Figure 5 below provides the biodiversity units for the baseline site against the post development site. This gives the unit scores for each individual habitat type. This also provides the onsite unit change from baseline to the post development phase. Figure 6 below provides the overall biodiversity units for the baseline stage, the total net unit change and the overall percentage biodiversity net change.

Figure 5 – Biodiversity unit change for each individual habitat for baseline, post development and the overall change in biodiversity units.

On-site									
and :	Ances o dissem <u>ges</u>	CAntellic: Utmiß: of <mark>nemge:</mark>		lik-bilik-nitpromp	18 xis Stings	i kan taka	Bwisðingy wealura	länopoisend ansia	läncoporata vasaluur
	-12.6	-15.9		Caragalaantii	43.3	3	75.7	30.7	59.8
	7.3	22.6		Gnatasland	0.1		1.1	7.4	23.6
	0.0	0.0		lesaithteannd eannd sthnuth	0.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0
		0.7 =±0 () 0.0	0.0	. A stational sure- Sponsely-way <u>etisted b</u>	an 177 and	0	.0 ().0	™ ≣≊ = " 0.0
-0	0.6	-2.4	-1.2	Urban		1	.4 ().6 -	1.0
0.0		0.0	0.0	Wetland		U	.0 0.0	0.0	0.0
11	8.8	2.3	93.9	Woodland and fore	st	1	3 2	4.9	3.6
U	0.0	0.0	0.0	Intertidal sedimer	it	U	.0 0.	0.0	0.0
U	0.0	0.0	0.0	Coastal saltmarsh	1	U	.0 0.0	0.0	0.0
U	0.0	0.0	0.0	Rocky shore		U	.0 0.	0.0	0.0
0	n	.00.	00	Coastal Jagoons			0	10	10

Figure 6 – Biodiversity Net Gain Summary

	Habitat units	124.07	
On-site baseline	Hedgerow units	2.06	
	River units	0.00	
On-site post-intervention	Habitat units	232.28	
Bassing to the second	<u>Hedgerow units</u>	5.48	
	Res Constant	0.00	
0.000 <u></u>	·····	NALTONS	
<u>0.00</u> Öffisite pase me	fie	สสุขของผ่างหลัง 👘	
0.00		River units	
		labitat unite	
0.00,	i le Ville	Sedgesonsasta	
100 - Charles and a straight of the second	in and in the second	<u> 1998 - 1997 - 1</u> 0	
	Hābitat-un		
	iceanan <u>Se</u>	Hedgerowau	
s (Unreflucting; all convention & coin-sation h	abiliai retentilon/creati	ikom) ikaweer tutonii.	
07.010/		Ugbitat up	
nite 166 53% Total net %	change	Hadgasente	
	and the second second second	Divo	

4.2 Based on the results in Figure 5, there is an overall negative change in biodiversity units for cropland and urban areas within this site. This is due to the removal of a

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

5.1