From: Levine, Cody

Sent: 10 December 2021 10:50

To: Aldridge, Steven

Subject: RE: Lea Castle - Biodiversity Net Gain

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

## Hi Steve

Apologies or the oversight. I have now reviewed the metric and have very limited comments:

- 1. Understrategicsignificance riterion, as the whole site is located within a 'B rLine orridor', as identified in Worcestershire's iodiversity Action Plan (2018r2027), believe the correct selection is more likely to be 'within area formally identified in local strategy'. However, as the strategics ignificance multiplier would then apply equally to habitats lost to developmentasit would habitats recreated on restoration, I don't believe this will make a significant difference to the final BNG tally, and a further iteration of the BNG metric should therefore be appended to a conditioned LEMP so as to reflect this refinement and to inform a baseline for future habitat monitoring efforts.
- 2. Secondlya number of proposedhabitatshave been identified with 'high' or 'very high' difficulty for creation, with a time to target condition of 30 and 32 years respectively. This will exceed the typical times pan of usual LEMP periods secured by mineral consent (usually an after care period of 5 years, unless otherwise agreed between the applicant and CPA) It is therefore requested that the applicant confirms they are amenable to offering an after care period aligning with the proposed habitat of Tc tat 3.721 0 Td < 0003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Tj /C2\_0 Td < 0.003 > T46.907 -1.224 Td (p 1 Tf 4 mio Td (of) Td /C2\_0 Td /

With regard to Biodiversity Net Gain, the County Ecologist welcomes the headline figures but re-iterates his previous comments that he requires submission of the DEFRA metric spreadsheet in order to review and comment on the evidence itself.

I don't appear to have received any further comments from you on this matter, since the applicant provided the requested metric (see email attached), likely because the focus has been on the potential ancient woodland. Are you able to confirm if this matter has been closed off?

Thanks

Steve

StevenAldridge
TeamManager– DevelopmentManagement
WorcestershireCountyCouncil AlZi3dge
CountyHall,SpetchleyRoad,Worcester,WR52NP j î c RAð À"'ÚÝà î ðÆ% Ý0