

Landscape and Visual Proof of Evidence

Lea Castle Quarry, Wolverley

Summary Proof of Evidence

On behalf of NRS Aggregates Ltd.

Date: / /2024 | Pegasus Ref: P24-1608

PINS Ref APP/E1855/W/22/3310099 | LPA Ref: 19/000053/CM

Author: Neil Furber BSc (Dual Hons), PGDip LA, CMLif



Document Management.





1. Summary

Background and Scope of Evidence

- 1.1. My name is Neil Robert Furber. I am a Landscape Director at Pegasus Group. I have over 25 years' continuous experience as a Landscape Architect working on a wide variety of projects across all the major development sectors including extensive experience of the landscape design and assessment of mineral developments. I have acted as a landscape expert witness on many occasions for both developer and Local Planning Authority clients since 2002.
- 1.2. The evidence which I have prepared and provide in this proof of evidence is true and has been prepared and is given in accordance with the guidance of my professional institution. I also confirm that the opinions expressed are my true and professional opinions.
- 1.3.



1.9.



Visual Component of Openness

1.17.



1.30.



would typically range from Slight to Moderate adverse and would not be Significant. I conclude there would be no potential for the RVAT to be breached at any dwelling.

- 1.40. In terms of the revised scheme, the temporary bund that would be seen from the front of the Equestrian bungalow would be reduced from 6m to 4m in height. Views from the rear would be improved with a reduction in height of the temporary bund along the northern edge of the plant Site from 4/6m to 3m in height .
- 1.41. The revised scheme would also result in some very modest improvements to already heavily restricted views from Castle Barns/White House because a reduced extent of temporary bunding would be required i.e., bund 18 omitted . The revised scheme would also offer some modest improvements to the visual amenity of South Lodges from the reduction in height from 4m to 3m of temporary screen bund 14.
- 1.42. In conclusion, for both I assess that the spatial and visual openness of the Green Belt would be preserved and there would be no unacceptable impact on the outlook experienced by residents living close to the Appeal Site.

