I understand you are still taking objections to the Lea Quarry castle proposal?

My initial objection was mainly focused on the environment and the school children and the many schol s (o)-1-2 (l)-2 (o)1 (ns-e)-6 (-2 ()-4 (iae)-4t)]TJ -g- c-(6 0 -1.22 TJ -0.002 Tc -0.001 wider barrier protected paths for the children? Additionally, pollution wise, the road would need to be wider to allow for pollution to dissipate to a lower level for pedestrians.

- Airborne pollution, at no point have I heard how pollution would be policed and controlled, it is not right that we take chances with peoples health, there are

 +îXô2àÙèÍXôÙ :1ô\ÙÍ2îÙô}ôX...îÍ...Ù•:X' 2 ÙUô:U+ôÙ• :Ù2ôć healthy.
- 3. Although it is mentioned that the area would be improved post quarry, what happens to the access we already have to greenspace? This is my regular walking route during my lunch break with my dog, without this space, my options are reduced to car journeys of walk her, this has a time and cost associated with it, has any thought been placed on whether a leisure route can simply be stripped away from the community?
- 4. How many times can they keep appealing, why is there not cap on how things can be pushed and as a result of this inquiry will something be done about preventing this and other planning requests using up so much Council time, public time and public private money? It seems unfair that the public have to pay e: Ù U X : e ô è e Ù e ô Ù ô 2 } X : 21 ô 2 e Ù Í X : j 2î Ù e ô 1 à Ù \ j X ô Ù e \ Ù \ Ù Í e government system should do?

I'm aware that the above are a mixed of objections and comments about the system in place that governs, but I would like all to be on record.

Many thanks and I am always happy to answer questions.

Chris Hathaway 5 Beeston Road Cookley DY10 3UF