

Rule 6 Main Party Response to

Appellant's Note on Securing the Duration of Public Access Routes

1. The 'proposed routes' are said to be shown on Concept Restoration Plan (CD15.23

'proposed as upgraded PROW from Footpath to Bridleway' and 'Proposed Permissive Bridleway') would be provided and maintained for public use in perpetuity, whether by the Appellant/Owner or by agreement with the Council

the existing PRow within the application site are relevant, and these benefits must be balanced against the disbenefits and disadvantages to the public during the operation of the site as have been set out by the Rule 6 Main Party during the inquiry. These

27. Permissive paths provide no benefit as they can be withdrawn at any time. Whilst they are there their value is diminished due to amenity issues of visual, noise, pollution. Proposed permissive bridleways are not suitable when crossing access roads, conveyor routes or close to 50mph roads.
28. Public Rights of Way can not be required by planning condition.